

The Global SYMPLICITY Registry: Safety and Effectiveness of Renal Artery Denervation In Real World Patients With Uncontrolled Hypertension


Michael Böhm, MD

on behalf of the GSR Investigators

March 30, 2014

Universitätskliniken des Saarlandes, Klinik für
Innere Medizin III, Homburg/Saar, Germany

Conflicts of Interest – M. Böhm



- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
- Federal State of the Saarland (Ministry of Science and Economy)
- BMBF
- European Union

1. Study Support:

**Astra Zeneca, Bayer AG,
Boehringer Ingelheim, Medtronic, Novartis, Pfizer,
Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, St. Jude**

2. Advisory Boards:

**Astra Zeneca, Bayer AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cordis,
Daiichi-Sankyo, Medtronic, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer,
Sanofi-Aventis, Servier,**

3. Speaker:

**Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim,
Berlin-Chemie, Daiichi-Sankyo, Medtronic, MSD,
Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, St. Jude**

Global SYMPLICITY Registry

Co-Chairs

Prof. Michael Böhm; Prof. Giuseppe Mancia

Executive Committee

Prof. Bryan Williams; Prof. Krzysztof Narkiewicz; Prof. Luis Ruilope; Prof. Markus Schlaich; Dr. Felix Mahfoud

Steering Committee

Executive committee and Dr. Mostafa Adel Youssef; Dr. Ashok Seth Dr. Brett Egan; Dr. Dong-Ju Choi; Dr. Phillip L'Allier Prof. Bert Andersson; Prof. Chaim Lotan; Prof. Iris Baumgartner; Prof. Massimo Volpe; Prof. Roland Schmieder; Prof. Thierry Lefevre; Prof. Uta Hoppe; Prof. Uwe Zeymer; Dr. Robaayah Zambahari

Independent Clinical Events Committee

Steven Marx, MD; Clive Rosendorff, MD, PhD, DsC Med, FRCP, FACC; Michele H. Mokrzycki, MD; Ladan Golestaneh, MD; Joel Neugarten, MD

(Non-voting members: Roxana Mehran, MD and Sorin Brener, MD)

Data Analysis


Institut für Herzinfarktforschung, IHF, Ludwigshafen, Germany

Sponsor

Medtronic, Inc.

Background

- Sympathetic nervous system overdrive is implicated in many diseases
- RDN has been studied extensively in subjects with uncontrolled hypertension
- Published reports describe the clinical benefit of renal denervation in several co-morbid conditions
- Safety and treatment effect in real life could differ




Objectives

- Primary: Safety
 - Peri-procedural safety
 - Long-term safety
 - Vascular
 - Renal
 - Hemodynamic
- Secondary
 - Patient characterization
 - Effect on blood pressure
 - Changes in baseline antihypertensive medication
- **New**
 - **Relationship of registry vs RCT (SYMPPLICITY HTN-3)**


Design and Rationale

- Prospective, open label, multi-center, international registry
- Up to 5000 real world patients with uncontrolled hypertension and some with conditions associated with sympathetic nervous system activation
- Key Inclusion:
 - Older than 18 years
 - Candidates for renal denervation as defined by local regulations for use of the Symplicity™ catheter.
- NCT01534299

Global SYMPLICITY Registry – Current Activated Site Locations



Global SYMPLICITY Registry




231 international sites in 37 countries
Min. 10% randomly assigned to 100% monitoring



* Limited to resistant hypertension only

Patient Disposition



Analysis on BP change performed on patients with matching baseline and FUP values

Baseline Patient Characteristics

	All Patients (N = 1000)	SBP ≥160 mm Hg & Ambulatory SBP ≥135* mm Hg (N = 327)
Gender, (% male)	61.2%	63.9%
Age (years)	60.7 ± 12.0	61.0 ± 10.9
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.5 ± 5.5	30.9 ± 5.5
Current smoking	10.0%	11.0%
History of cardiac disease	50.5%	52.9%
Renal impairment (eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73m ²)	23.4%	27.9%
Sleep apnea (AHI≥5)	4.2%	5.9%
Diabetes, Type 1	3.2%	2.5%
Diabetes, Type 2	38.5%	42.6%
1 co-morbidity	39.7%	36.7%
2 co-morbidities	35.5%	34.6%
3+ co-morbidities	24.6%	28.4%

* With ≥3 antihypertensive medication classes

Antihypertensive Medication Use

	All Patients (N = 1000)	SBP ≥160 mm Hg & Ambulatory SBP ≥135 mm Hg* (N = 327)
Antihypertensive medication classes	4.5 ± 1.3	4.7 ± 1.2
Beta-blockers	78.9%	81.0%
ACE inhibitors	33.8%	38.5%
Angiotensin-receptor blockers	67.3%	67.9%
Calcium channel blockers	76.3%	78.9%
Diuretics	78.2%	79.8%
Aldosterone antagonists	21.1%	19.3%
Spironolactone	18.6%	15.9%
Alpha adrenergic blockers	35.2%	40.1%
Direct-acting vasodilators	15.1%	19.0%
Centrally acting sympatholytics	33.2%	37.6%
Direct renin inhibitor	7.4%	7.7%

* With ≥3 antihypertensive medication classes

Procedural Detail

# renal arteries	2.2 ± 0.5
Length	41.5 ± 13.1 mm
Diameter left renal artery	5.6 ± 1.2 mm
Diameter right renal artery	5.7 ± 1.2 mm
Treatment time	50 min
# bilateral ablations	13.5 ± 4.1
# 120 sec bilateral ablations	11.3 ± 3.4
Contrast volume used	127.6 ± 81.1 cc

values are mean ± SD

Safety at 1 and 6 Months

	1 Month n=967	6 Month n=913
Cardiovascular events		
Cardiovascular death	0.0% (0)	0.2% (2)
Stroke	0.2% (2)	0.9% (8)
Hospitalization for new onset heart failure	0.3% (3)	0.7% (6)
Hospitalization for atrial fibrillation	0.1% (1)	0.9% (8)
Hypertensive crisis/emergency	0.2% (2)	1.0% (9)
Myocardial infarction	0.0% (0)	0.6% (5)
Renal events		
New onset end stage renal disease	0.1% (1)	0.2% (2)
Serum creatinine elevation > 50%	0.1% (1)	0.2% (2)
New renal artery stenosis >70%	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
Post-procedural events		
Non-cardiovascular death	0.0% (0)	0.2% (2)
Renal artery re-intervention	0.1% (1)	0.2% (2)
Vascular complication	0.4% (4)	0.4% (4)

Safety in HTN-3 and GSR

	HTN-3 RDN arm (N=364)	GSR All Patients (N=1000)	GSR OSBP \geq 160 and ABPM \geq 135* (N=327)
MAE	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%
At 6 month			
Death	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%
New onset end stage renal disease	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%
Significant embolic event resulting in end-organ damage	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Renal artery re-intervention	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Vascular complication	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%
Hypertensive crisis/emergency	2.6%	1.0%	1.7%
New renal artery stenosis > 70%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%

* With \geq 3 antihypertensive medication classes


Change in Office Systolic BP for All Patients and Subgroups



*P<0.0001 for both 3 and 6 month change from baseline

†P=0.14 at 3 months and P=0.0006 at 6 months


Change in Office SBP at 6 Months for GSR and SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Patients



*with ≥3 antihypertensive medication classes

† with ≥3 antihypertensive meds at maximum tolerated dose


Change in Office SBP at 6 Months for GSR and SYMPLICITY HTN-3 Patients



*with ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes

† with ≥ 3 antihypertensive meds at maximum tolerated dose


Change in Office SBP at 6 Months for GSR and Non-African American Patients in SYMPPLICITY HTN-3



*with ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes

† with ≥ 3 antihypertensive meds at maximum tolerated dose


Change in Ambulatory SBP for GSR and SYMPPLICITY HTN-3 Patients



*with ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes

† with ≥ 3 antihypertensive meds at maximum tolerated dose


Change in Ambulatory SBP for GSR and SYMPPLICITY HTN-3 Patients



*with ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes

† with ≥ 3 antihypertensive meds at maximum tolerated dose


Response Rates* for Patients with Office SBP ≥ 160 mm Hg / Ambulatory SBP ≥ 135 mm Hg at Baseline†



*Reduction in mean office SBP of at least 5, 10, or 20 mm Hg

†with ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes

Distribution of SBP in Patients With Office SBP ≥ 160 mm Hg and Ambulatory SBP ≥ 135 mm Hg* at Baseline



*with ≥ 3 antihypertensive medication classes


Conclusions

- Excellent procedural and clinical safety profile in the largest dataset of real world RDN patients to date
- Significant reductions in both office and ambulatory BP from baseline
 - Differences with SYMPLICITY HTN-3 include randomization, blinding, sham control, BP inclusion criteria, antihypertensive-drug treatment intensity, and African-American inclusion in HTN-3
 - Despite the limitations of comparing a registry with a randomized, blinded, controlled study, the reduction in blood pressure is numerically larger in the GSR at 6 months after treatment
 - Due to the registry nature of the GSR, it is difficult to account for the magnitude of a possible placebo effect.

Future Research

- Define appropriate treatment populations
 - Key subgroups
 - Optimal BP inclusion criteria
- Interaction with drug treatments
- Time course
- Technical issues
- Operator experience
 - Optimal training and proctoring

Thank you!



M. Böhm

Klinik für Innere Medizin III
Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes
Homburg/Saar, Germany
Tel. 06841-16-23372
Fax. 06841-16-23369
michael.boehm@uks.eu