

Risk of leads abandonment References

1. Pokorney et al. Outcomes Associated with Extraction versus Capping and Abandoning Pacing and Defibrillator Leads Circulation 2017 Oct 10;136(15):1387-1395. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.027636. Epub 2017 Aug 22.
2. iData, MRG, Eucomed, EHRA White Book, Product Performance Reports (Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Medtronic, and St. Jude Medical), and internal estimates / analysis on file.
3. Kleeman Thomas, et al. Annual Rate of Transvenous Defibrillation Lead Defect in Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators over a Period of >10 Years. Circulation 2007; 115:2474-2490.
4. Hauser, Robert, et. al. The Increasing Hazard of Sprint Fidelis Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator Lead Failure, Heart Rhythm, Vol. 6, No 5, May 2009.
5. Fields, Michael E., et al. How to select patients for lead extraction. Heart Rhythm, Vol 4, Issue 7, July 2007.
6. Philips data on file. Case log book, 2012-2017.
7. Worley, Seth J. Implant Venoplasty: Dilation of Subclavian and Coronary Veins to Facilitate Device Implantation: Indications, Frequency, Methods, and Complications. Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology Vol. 19, No. 9, September 2008, 1004-7.
8. Kusumoto et al. 2017 HRS Expert Consensus Statement on Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Device Lead Management and Extraction. Heart Rhythm, 2017.
9. Wazni, O et. al. Lead Extraction in the Contemporary Setting: The LEXIcon Study: A Multicenter Observational Retrospective Study of Consecutive Laser Lead Extractions, J Am Coll Cardiol, 55:579-586
10. Bohm, Adam, et al. Complications Due to Abandoned Noninfected Pacemaker Leads. PACE, Vol 24, No 12, 2001, 1721-1724.
11. Wilkoff, Bruce L. , et al. How to treat and identify device infections. Heart Rhythm, Vol 4, No 11, 2007, 1467-1470.
12. Hot Topics call with Dr. John Piccini September 11, 2017. Philips data on file, 2018.
13. Hussein, Ayman A., et al. "Cardiac Implantable Electronic Device Infections: Added Complexity and Suboptimal Outcomes With Previously Abandoned Leads." JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology (2016).
14. Byrd, CL, et al. Intravascular extraction of problematic or infected permanent pacemaker leads: 1994-1996. U.S. Extraction Database, MED Institute. PACE 1999; 22:1348-1357.
15. Sohal, M. et al. (2014). Laser lead extraction to facilitate cardiac implantable electronic device upgrade and revision in the presence of central venous obstruction. Europace, 16(1), 81-87.
16. Oginozawa Y, Abe H, Nakashima Y. The incidence and risk factors for venous obstruction after implantation of transvenous pacing leads. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2002;25:1605-1611.
17. Kutarski, A., Pietura, R., Młynarczyk, K., Małecka, B., & Główniak, A. (2012). Pacemaker lead extraction and recapture of venous access: technical problems arising from extensive venous obstruction. Cardiology journal, 19(5), 513-517.
18. de Cock CC, et al. Long-term outcome of patients with multiple (> or = 3) noninfected leads: a clinical and echocardiographic study. PACE, Vol 23, No 4, 2000, 423-6
19. Dr. Kutalek Occlusion Case Study, D030926. Philips data on file, 2018.
20. Marco, CB, et al, Clinical Applications of cardiovascular MRI, CMAJ 2006: 175:911-7
21. Kalin R, Stanton MS. Current clinical issues for MRI scanning of pacemaker and defibrillator patients. PACE. April 2005;28(4):326-328.
22. Mattei, E., Gentili, G., Censi, F., Triventi, M. and Calcagnini, G. (2015), Impact of capped and uncapped abandoned leads on the heating of an MR-conditional pacemaker implant. Magn Reson Med, 73: 390-400. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25106
23. Levine, G, et al, "Safety of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Patients with Cardiovascular Devices: AHA statement from the Committee on diagnostic and interventional cardiac catheterization, council on

clinical cardiology, and the council on cardiovascular radiology and intervention. *Circ* 2007, pp 2878-2891

24. Roguin, Ariel et al, Magnetic resonance imaging in individuals with cardiovascular implantable electronic devices, *Europace* 2008;10, 336-346.
25. Acharya, Raj, et al, "Biomedical Imaging Modalities: A Tutorial, Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics, 1995, Vol 19: 1-23.
26. http://www.diffen.com/difference/CT_Scan_vs_MRI
27. chellinger, P. D. et al. (2010). Evidence-based guideline: The role of diffusion and perfusion MRI for the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*, 75(2), 177-185. Viewed at <https://www.neurology.org/content/75/2/177.full>
28. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Decision Memo for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) (CAG-00399R4). April 10, 2018. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncadecision-memo.aspx?NCAId=289&bc=AAAAAAAAACAA&>
29. Nazarian S, Reynolds MR, Ryan MP, Wolff SD, Mollenkopf SA, Turakhia MP. Utilization and likelihood of radiologic diagnostic imaging in patients with implantable cardiac defibrillators. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2016 Jan;43(1):115-27. doi: 10.1002/jmri.24971. Epub 2015 Jun 27. PMID: 26118943; PMCID: PMC4755166.
30. Kutalek et al. HRS 2018 presentation: Yikes! The Veg is Bigger than I Thought! Drexel University Experience and Clinical Results. <http://education.hrsonline.org/common/media-player>.
31. Barakat, Amr F., et al. "Transvenous lead extraction at the time of cardiac implantable electronic device upgrade: Complexity, safety, and outcomes." *Heart rhythm* 14.12 (2017): 1807-1811.
32. Wilkoff, Bruce, L., et al. Pacemaker Lead Extraction with the Laser Sheath: Results of the Pacing Lead Extraction with Excimer Sheath (PLEXES) Trial. *JACC*, Vol 33, No. 6, May 1999.
33. Byrd, Charles, et al. Clinical Study of the Laser Sheath for Lead Extraction: The Total Experience in the United States. *Journal of Pacing and Electrophysiology*. Vol 125, No. 5, May 2002.
34. Roger G. Carrillo, MD; Darren C. Tsang, BS; Ryan Azarra y, BA; Thomas A. Boyle, BS. Multi-Year Evaluation of Compliant Endovascular Balloon in Treating Superior Vena Cava Tears During Transvenous Lead Extraction. EHRA late-breaking trial, March 19, 2018.
35. Document on file D027562. Bridge can be fully deployed in under one minute (53 seconds) in an animal model when pre-positioned on a guidewire, or in under two minutes (1 minute, 46 seconds) when not pre-positioned.
36. Document on file D027561. When deployed, the Bridge occlusion balloon reduces blood loss by up to 90%, on average, in an animal model of an SVC tear. Testing was conducted in a heparinized porcine model which has shorter SVC length than is typical in humans. A balloon design scaled for use specifically in the porcine model was used in generating this data.
37. Document on file, D026197. In an animal model with SVC tears up to 3.5 cm, with 2 pacing leads and 1 ICD lead.
38. Elrod, Jodia. Use of Bridge™ Occlusion Balloon in Lead Extraction: Interview with Dr. Roger Carrillo. EP Lab Digest. November, 2016.
39. Wilkoff BL, Love CJ, Byrd CL, Bongiorno MG, Carrillo RG, Crossley GH 3rd, Epstein LM, Friedman RA, Kennergren CE, Mitkowski P, Schaerf RH, Wazni OM; Heart Rhythm Society; American Heart Association. Transvenous lead extraction: Heart Rhythm Society expert consensus on facilities, training, indications, and patient management: this document was endorsed by the American Heart Association (AHA). *Heart Rhythm*. 2009 Jul;6(7):1085-104. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2009.05.020. Epub 2009 May 22. PMID: 19560098.